

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/362,631	07/21/1999	RONALD J. MOSSO	N19.12-0020	7098	
24113	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
	ON, THUENTE, SKAAI	MAYEKAR, KISHOR			
4800 IDS CE 80 SOUTH 8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-2100	1753	1753		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/362,63	31	MOSSO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kishor M	<u> </u>	1753				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the e	correspondence ad	Iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail  - If the period for reply specified above  - If NO period for reply is specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	IIS COMMUNICATION under the provisions of 37 CFR ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a rive, the maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by stat than three months after the mai	N. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the state od will apply and wi	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status	•	,						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)  Claim(s) 20-27 and 52-64 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 20-27 and 52-64 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
'''	n is/are: a)□ a st that any objection to th neet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) b ection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is material All b) Some * c  1. Certified copies  2. Certified copies  3. Copies of the certified copies	☐ None of: of the priority docume of the priority docume ertified copies of the priority the International Bure	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D  3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/04.	rawing Review (PTO-948)	98)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/362,631

Art Unit: 1753

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 and \$ 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 20-22, 54, 55, 63 and 64 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 61-67836, for reasons as of record.
- 3. Claims 23, 25-27, 52, 53, 58 and 59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '836, for reasons as of record.
- 4. Claims 24, 56, 57 and 60-62 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '836 as applied to claims 23, 25-27, 52, 53, 58 and 59 above, and further in view of BEATY et al. (5,194,128), for reasons as of record.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 20 Sept. 2004 (of the previous papers filed 17 June 2004) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,631

Art Unit: 1753

In response to Applicant's argument that JP '836 does not teach all of the claim elements, a multiple independent product flows directed to a single collector as claimed, the examiner finds this is to be unpersuasive. This is because the rejected claims do not recite that the particle collection apparatus is a single collector and because of the transitional phrase "comprising" which opens the claims to more than one particle collection apparatus, JP '836's particle collection apparatus is capable of performing the intended use's limitation "configured to receive product particles from the plurality of reactant streams".

As to the argument to the rejection of claims 24, 56, 57 and 60-62, there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, since JP '836 discloses that the apparatus can produce particles of different particle sizes and different compositions with a single apparatus in addition to same particle size and same

composition, it's the examiner's position to maintain the rejection because of the motivation is "always related to the properties or uses one skilled in the art would expect the structure to have" and this would result, as asserted by the examiner in the last Office action, in collecting manufacturing particles of same particle size and same composition from different individual sources.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,631

Art Unit: 1753

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 86\$\frac{17}{2}\$-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753